

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,049	01/19/2001	Tomohiro Suzuki	35.C15259	6687
5514	7590 06/19/2003			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			EXAMINER	
			EVANS, FANNIE L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2877	
		DATE MAILED: 06/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	_	09/764,049	SUZUKI ET AL.	11				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	•	F. L. Evans	2877					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	<u></u>		ess				
Period fo	• •							
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  10) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this com  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	March 2003						
2a)⊠		his action is non-final.						
3)□	,—		rs prosecution as to the	merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1,6-19,24,25 and 28</u> is/are pending	in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6-19,24,25 and 28</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
• •	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>January 19, 2001</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
u).	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		·		annlication)				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment		o□						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-					
S Patent and To	ademark Office							

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR § 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the means for rotationally moving a detector specified in lines 15-17 of claim 19 must be shown or the feature canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Objections

With respect to claim 1, it appears that "or" in line 9 should be --on--. Correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 6-19, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Independent claim 1 sets forth the steps of moving the samples on the measuring surface

Application/Control Number: 09/764,049

Art Unit: 2877

from the excitation light illumination portion to the detecting portion (lines 8-11) and also rotationally moving the excitation light illumination portion and the detecting portion (lines 14 and 15). The original disclosure of the invention is silent with respect to a method for measuring fluorescence emitted from samples on a measuring surface of a substrate in which both the samples are moved (rotated) and the excitation light illumination/detecting portions are rotated as is now set forth in claim 1.

Independent claim 19 sets forth means for moving samples on a measuring surface of a substrate from the excitation light illumination portion to the light detecting portion (lines 8-10) and also for rotationally moving a detector (lines 15-17). The original disclosure of the invention is silent with respect to a fluorometric device with means for both rotating the samples and a detector as is now set forth in claim 19. In fact the original disclosure is silent with respect to specific means for rotating a detector.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Miller et al (US 5,528.050), newly cited.

Miller et al disclose a method for measuring fluorescence emitted from samples on a measuring surface of a substrate (lines 2-5 of column 3) in which an excitation illumination portion (16, 18, 22) and a light detecting portion (28, 30) are placed in such a manner as to make

it possible to prevent the excitation light from approaching the light detecting portion where measurements are made of fluorescence and in which fluorescence emitted from the samples is measured by moving the excitation light illumination portion and the light detecting portion to the samples (the paragraph bridging columns 2 and 3). The position of the light detecting portion (28, 30) behind the beam splitter (18) makes it possible to prevent excitation light from approaching the detecting portion (lines 52-61 in column 3). Applicant's attention is directed to Miller et al in its entirety with particular attention directed to Figs. 1-4 and the text pertaining thereto in columns 3-5.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. § 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yurino et al (JP

2000-321206), of record.

Yurino et al disclose a substrate (10) with samples(11) on the measuring surface thereof, wherein the samples are arranged on more than one circles which are concentric with the central axis of the substrate and are different in radius from each other. Yurino et al do not disclose specifically that the samples at the same distance from the central axis have the same or similar attributes.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art that how the samples were placed on the surface of the substrate would have been the choice of the user and would not have involved an inventive step.

### Additional Prior Art

Maher et al (US 6,399,952 B1) disclose fluorometric devices movable relative to samples on a substrate. The devices include dichroic mirrors that make it possible to prevent excitation light from approaching light detecting portions.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6-19, 24, 25 and 28 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR § 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO



Art Unit: 2877

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

# Fax/Telephone Numbers

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The fax numbers for Technology Center 2800 are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

If applicant wishes to send a fax containing a Proposed Amendment for discussion during either a personal interview or a telephone interview then the fax should:

- 1) Contain either the statement "DRAFT" or "PROPOSED AMENDMENT" on the Fax Cover Sheet; and
- 2) Should be unsigned by the attorney or agent. This will ensure that the amendment will not be entered into the application and will be forwarded to the examiner as quickly as possible.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner whose telephone number is (703) 308-4805. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font, can be reached on (703) 308-4881. The TC Receptionist's telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Any other inquiry of a technical nature, and all inquiries of a general nature including those relating to the status of an application should be directed to TC 2800 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Application/Control Number: 09/764,049

Art Unit: 2877

F. L EVANS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2871

fle June 16, 2003